

## Prioritization Matrix

<u>DISCHARGE</u> (aka) <i>[includes]</i>	<u>Feasibility</u>	<u>Complexity</u>	<u>Benefit To</u> <u>Watershed</u>	<u>Land Use</u> <u>Constraints</u>	<u>Property</u> <u>Impact</u>	<u>Maintenance</u>	<u>Cost</u>	<u>TOTAL</u> <u>SCORE</u>
MP6	10	10	8	9	7	10	10	64
NFMU5 (MP8) [MP3]	10	10	9	9	9	7	10	64
PRE1(SFMU2) (BOGGS)	8	9	9	9	9	10	10	64
NFMU9	8	7	8	9	10	7	8	57
SFMD7	10	9	5	8	8	7	9	56
MKR3	7	6	10	7	7	7	10	54
MP2	7	8	7	6	8	10	8	54
MP5	7	8	7	6	8	8	9	53
NFMU6 [MP7]	7	7	5	8	9	7	6	49
SFMD3	7	7	4	7	6	7	7	45
SFMS7	5	7	7	6	5	7	7	44
SFMS6	3	3	9	2	1	7	9	34

1 = Worst Score (low feasibility, complicated, relatively non-beneficial, constrained land use, high/negative impact to property, high maintenance, poor cost/benefit)  
 10 = Best Score (high feasibility, simple, very beneficial, complies with land use, low/positive impact to property, low maintenance, good cost/benefit)

Denotes High Priority Site or Project Under Review Priority Site.

PRE2(CLINTON ROAD) Site is not included in the above matrix but is a Project Under Review Priority Site.

SFMD7 received a relatively high total score due mainly to the favorable site conditions that contributed to higher feasibility and complexity scores; however, due to the relatively low direct benefit to the watershed, this discharge was not chosen as a High Priority Site.

Please note that even though some of the projects will have a relatively small direct environmental impact, some of these sites have a high potential for and may serve to develop significant indirect environmental impacts through community education and awareness.

### PRIORITY SITES

#### Projects Under Review

PRE1(SFMU2)  
PRE2(CLINTON ROAD)

#### High Priority Sites

MKR3  
NFMU9  
MP2  
NFMU5  
MP6